Structure and Thermochemistry of β -Silicon Carbenium lons in the Gas Phase

David Hajdasz and Robert Squires*

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, U.S.A.

Both protonation of trimethylvinylsilane and addition of Me_3Si^+ to ethylene in the gas phase produce the cation $Me_3Si(CH_2CH_2)^+$, with 39 kcal mol⁻¹ stabilization energy relative to ethyl cation; however, chloride abstraction from $Me_3SiCHCICH_3$ yields a mixture of ions resulting from competing methyl and hydrogen shifts in the nascent silylcarbenium ion.

One of the most spectacular substituent effects in organic chemistry is the powerful stabilizing influence of a β -silyl group[†] on carbenium ion intermediates in solvolytic reactions. Numerous condensed-phase experimental investigations and computational studies of the so-called ' β -silicon effect' have been carried out since the phenomenon was first noted by Ushakov and Itenberg in 1937.^{1–14} We report here an

† The carbonium carbon atom is considered to be the α -position.

experimental determination of the extent of stabilization and structural consequences of both α - and β -silyl substitution in simple gas-phase carbenium ions formed in a flowing afterglow-triple quadrupole apparatus at 298 K.‡

Our investigation concerns the family of ions $C_5H_{13}Si^+$ (m/z

‡ A complete description of the apparatus will be given in a future publication. For a preliminary account see ref. 15. All experiments were carried out using p(He) = 0.4 Torr; v(He) = 9400 cm s⁻¹.

101) that can be generated in the helium flow reactor by several different methods. Reaction between trimethylsilicenium ion (formed by electron ionization of Me₄Si or Me₆Si₂) and ethylene at 0.4 Torr total pressure yields exclusively the termolecular addition product (1) [equation (1)]. This ion undergoes collision-induced dissociation (c.i.d.) in the triple quadrupole analyser by loss of ethylene with a measured energy threshold (E_T) of 0.8 ± 0.1 eV. § Collisional activation of the C₂D₄/Me₃Si⁺ adduct results in loss of only C₂D₄, showing that hydrogen scrambling does not take place within the ion. The bimolecular reactions of the ion (1) with methanol, benzene, and other *n*- or π -donor Lewis bases are relatively rapid ($k = 2 \times 10^{-10}$ cm³ s⁻¹) and result mainly or exclusively in ethylene displacement¹⁷ [equation (2)].

$$Me_{3}Si^{+} + CH_{2} = CH_{2} \xrightarrow{[He]} Me_{3}Si(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+}$$
(1)

$$Me_{3}Si(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+} + B \xrightarrow{100\%} Me_{3}Si(B)^{+} + CH_{2}=CH_{2} \quad (2)$$

$$B = MeOH, C_{6}H_{6}$$

This behaviour is indicative of an ion structure with an intact but labile ethylene ligand. Both open (**1a**) and silicon-bridged (**1b**) structures are consistent with the observed reactivity. High-energy (3 kV) collisional activation experiments by Ciommer and Schwarz with ¹³C- and CD₂-labelled C₃H₁₃Si⁺ ions formed by dissociative ionization of Me₃SiCH₂CH₂OPh derivatives show that the methylene groups in the ethylene ligand become equivalent prior to, or during c.i.d.¹² Moreover, *ab initio* calculations for both H₃Si(CH₂CH₂)⁺ and Me₃Si(CH₂CH₂)⁺ predict that the Si-bridged forms are lower in energy than the open forms.^{11,13}

Protonation of trimethylvinylsilane $Me_3SiCH=CH_2$ (2) by any of a variety of cationic Brønsted acids [equation (3)] MeOH to yield an addition product *and* an ethylene displacement product in an approximately 4:1 yield ratio. Since neither C_3H_6 loss nor adduct formation with MeOH are observed with protonated Me₃SiCH=CH₂, then formation of the α -silyl carbenium ion by protonation β to silicon does not occur. This apparent selectivity is surprising in view of the fact that the developing carbenium ion formed by α -protonation cannot fully benefit from the favourable bridging/hyperconjugative interactions of the β -silyl group since the vacant carbon 2p orbital and the C–Si bond are initially perpendicular.¹³ A likely explanation is that the conjugate base of the protonating agent [B in equation (3)] mediates the proton transfer reaction in the ion/molecule collision complex by interacting with the nascent carbenium ion in a Lewis-base fashion.¹⁸

It can be shown that chloride loss from Me₃SiCHClCH₃, in fact, yields a mixture of isomeric ions arising from competing 1,2-H and 1,2-methyl shifts within the nascent α -trimethylsilylcarbenium ion [equation (4)]. An authentic ion Me₂Si⁺. CH(CH₃)_{2.} (3) formed by chloride abstraction from the corresponding chlorosilane reacts with MeOH exclusively by addition, whereas the authentic hydrogen shift product (1) reacts exclusively by C_2H_4 displacement. In a recent experimental and theoretical investigation of these same $C_5H_{13}Si^+$ ions,¹³ Apeloig, Schwarz, and their co-workers concluded that the trimethylsilyl carbenium ion rearranges entirely by the 1,2-methyl shift pathway to yield only (3), since the high energy collisional activation spectra of authentic (3) and the product of Cl- loss from Me₃SiCHClCH₃ were found to be identical. We also find the c.i.d. spectra of (3) and the ion mixture from Me₃SiCHClCH₃ to be similar over a range of conditions of collision energy and target gas pressure in the triple quadrupole. Nevertheless, the results of the bimolecular reactions with MeOH clearly show that Me₃SiCHClCH₃ produces a *mixture* of $C_5H_{13}Si^+$ ions consisting of roughly 80% (3) and 20% (1).

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Me}_{3}\text{SiCHClCH}_{3} \xrightarrow{R^{+}} [\text{Me}_{3}\text{SiCHCH}_{3}] \xrightarrow{1,2\text{-CH}_{3}} \text{Me}_{2}\overset{+}{\text{SiCH}}(\text{CH}_{3}) \\ (3) \\ & \xrightarrow{1,2\text{-H}} \text{Me}_{3}\text{Si}(\text{CH}_{2}\text{CH}_{2})^{+} & (4) \\ (1) \end{array}$$

produces an ion $C_5H_{13}Si^+$ that exhibits behaviour identical with that of the ion (1). Thus, protonated Me₃SiCH=CH₂ undergoes c.i.d. by C_2H_4 loss with a 0.6 \pm 0.2 eV energy threshold,§ and reacts with MeOH, C_6H_6 , and other Lewis bases by exclusive ethylene displacement.

$$Me_{3}SiCH=CH_{2} + BH^{+} \longrightarrow Me_{3}Si(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+} + B \quad (3)$$
(2)

$$BH^{+} = C_{2}H_{5}^{+}, H_{3}O^{+}, Me_{3}C^{+}$$

Exclusive α -protonation of Me₃SiCH=CH₂ yielding the ion (1) is required by the foregoing results since an 'authentic' α -trimethylsilyl carbenium that would be derived from protonation β to silicon exhibits *different* unimolecular and bimolecular reactivity. For instance, the ion C₅H₁₃Si⁺ resulting from chloride abstraction from (or protonolysis of) Me₃Si-CHClCH₃ by Me₃C⁺ fragments in the triple quadrupole by loss of *both* C₂H₄ and C₃H₆ with similar yields, and reacts with

The total stabilization energy in the
$$\beta$$
-silyl carbenium ion (1) can be experimentally evaluated from the measured proton affinity (P.A.) of Me₃SiCH=CH₂. Proton transfer equilibrium involving Me₃SiCH=CH₂ and Me₃Si(CH₂CH₂)⁺ cannot be established because of the strong tendency for Me₃Si(CH₂CH₂)⁺ to react by C₂H₄ displacement rather than proton transfer with most reference bases. However, by combining a conventional proton affinity bracketing approach¹⁹ with careful monitoring of the kinetic energy dependence of proton transfer reactions taking place in the triple quadrupole collision chamber,²⁰ we have arrived at a consistent value for P.A.(Me₃SiCH=CH₂) of 199 ± 2 kcal mol⁻¹

A proton affinity of 199 kcal mol^{-1} ranks Me₃SiCH=CH₂ among isobutene (P.A. 196), tetramethylethylene (P.A. 199) and styrene (P.A. 202 kcal mol^{-1}) with respect to base





(15)

[§] Energy thresholds for fragmentation reactions are determined under single collision conditions in the middle quadrupole from a linear fit of the steeply rising portion of a plot of normalized product ion intensity *versus* centre-of-mass collision energy. The energy axis origin is located with retarding potential analysis and the apparent thresholds are corrected for Doppler broadening.¹⁶

strength.¹⁹ Therefore the total stabilization energy of the ion (1) relative to protonated ethylene (P.A. 162.6 kcal mol^{-1})¹⁹ is similar to that of tertiary and benzylic carbenium ions. The stabilization energy relative to hydrogen in an ethyl cation is formally given by the hypothetical hydride transfer reaction in equation (5).¹⁰ Using $\Delta H_{\rm f}(1) = 138 \pm 4$ kcal mol⁻¹ derived from the measured proton affinity and an estimate for $\Delta H_{\rm f}({\rm Me_3SiEt}), \P$ we compute $\Delta H(5) = 39$ kcal mol⁻¹. This is in good agreement with the theoretical stabilization energy for $H_3Si(CH_2CH_2)^+$ of 38 kcal mol⁻¹ reported by Jørgensen and co-workers.11

$$Me_{3}Si(CH_{2}CH_{2})^{+} + CH_{3}CH_{3} \rightarrow Me_{3}SiCH_{2}CH_{3} + C_{2}H_{5}^{+}$$
(5)

This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation (CHE-8502515). R. R. S. thanks the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation for a fellowship.

Received, 22nd December 1987; Com. 1839

References

- 1 S. N. Ushakov and A. M. Itenberg, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 1937, 7, 2495.
- 2 (a) L. H. Sommer and F. C. Whitmore, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1946, 68, 485; (b) L. H. Sommer and G. A. Baughman, ibid., 1961, 83, 3346.
- 3 (a) C. Eaborn and R. W. Bott, in 'Organometallic Compounds of the Group IV Elements,' ed. A. G. MacDiarmid, Dekker, New York, 1968, vol. 1, part 1; (b) R. W. Bott, C. Eaborn, and B. M. Rushton, J. Organomet. Chem., 1965, 3, 455; (c) M. A. Cook, C. Eaborn, and R. M. Walton, ibid., 1970, 24, 301.

 $\int \Delta H_f(Me_3SiCH=CH_2, g) = -29 \pm 3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}; \Delta H_f(Me_3Si-1)$ CH_2CH_3 , g) = -59 ± 2 kcal mol⁻¹ estimates based on $\Delta H_f(Me_4Si, g)$ $= -54.1 \pm 1.1 \text{ kcal}^{-1} \text{ mol})^{21}$ and assumed energy increments for replacing methyl with ethyl and vinyl; cf. ref. 22.

- 4 (a) A. W. P. Jarvie, Organomet. Chem. Rev. A, 1970, 6, 153; (b) A. W. P. Jarvie, A. Holt, and J. Thompson, J. Chem. Soc. B, 1969, 852; (c) A. W. P. Jarvie, A. Holt, and J. Thompson, ibid., 1970, 746.
- 5 J. Vencl, J. Hetflejs, J. Cermak, and V. Chvalovsky, Coll. Czech. Chem. Commun., 1973, 38, 1256.
- 6 D. D. Davis and H. M. Jacocks, J. Organomet. Chem., 1981, 206, 33
- 7 (a) J. B. Lambert and R. B. Finzel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1982, 104, 2020; (b) J. B. Lambert, G. Wang, R. B. Finzel, and D. H. Teramura, ibid., submitted for publication.
- 8 C. G. Pitt, J. Organomet. Chem., 1973, **61**, 49. 9 C. Eaborn, F. Feichtmayr, M. Horn, and J. N. Murrell, J. Organomet. Chem., 1974, 77, 39. 10 Y. Apeloig, P. von R. Schleyer, and J. A. Pople, J. Am. Chem.
- Soc., 1977, 99, 5901.
- 11 S. G. Wierschke, J. Chandrasekhar, and W. L. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1985, 107, 1496.
- 12 B. Ciommer and H. Schwarz, J. Organomet. Chem., 1983, 244, 319.
- 13 Y. Apeloig, M. Karni, A. Stanger, H. Schwarz, T. Drewello, and G. Czekay, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1987, 989.
- 14 For review of the mass spectrometry of silicon-containing molecules, see H. Schwarz in 'The Chemistry of Organosilicon Compounds,' eds. S. Patai and Z. Rappaport, Wiley, New York, in the press.
- 15 R. R. Squires, K. R. Lane, R. E. Lee, L. G. Wright, K. V. Wood, and R. G. Cooks, Int. J. Mass Spec. Ion Processes, 1985, 64, 185.
- 16 P. J. Chantry, J. Chem. Phys., 1971, 55, 2746.
- 17 A. C. M. Wojtyniak and J. A. Stone, Int. J. Mass Spec. Ion Processes, 1986, 74, 59
- 18 E. W. Redman and T. H. Morton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1986, 108, 5701
- 19 S. G. Lias, J. F. Liebman, and R. D. Levin, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 1984, 13, 695.
- 20 M. T. Kinter and M. M. Bursey, Org. Mass Spectrom., 1987, 22, 775.
- 21 L. Szepes and T. Baer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 273.
- 22 S. W. Benson, 'Thermochemical Kinetics,' 2nd edn., Wiley Interscience, New York, 1976.